

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/524,826	02/18/2005	Daniel Jansen	2001-1379	1882
466 YOUNG & TI	7590 05/27/201 HOMPSON	0	EXAMINER	
209 Madison Street			MERKLING, MATTHEW J	
Suite 500 Alexandria, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
The miles in the			1795	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/27/2010	EL ECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DocketingDept@young-thompson.com

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/524,826	JANSEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MATTHEW J. MERKLING	1795	

	MATTHEW J. MERKLING	1795					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 14 May 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.					
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must limely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
<ul> <li>The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la</li> </ul>	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date in have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
NOTICE OF APPEAL							
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be         <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further core</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	sideration and/or search (see NO		cause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying appeal; and/or							
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.					
	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  he amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).				
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all</li> </ol>							
non-allowable claim(s).		•					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	before or on the date of filing a No	otice of Appeal will no	be entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	sufficient reasons why the affidavi	it or other evidence is	necessary and				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:							
/Alexa D. Neckel/	/M. J. M./						
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795	Examiner, Art Unit 1795						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Examiner, Art Unit 1795

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Newly amended claim 20, which futher clarifies the flow of combusted hydrogen and the flow direction of the discharge from the other side of the membrane, raise new issues the require further consideration and a new search.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The newly amended claims, which futher clarify the flow of combusted hydrogen and the flow direction of the discharge from the other side of the membrane, appear to overcome the prior and of record as presently applied, however further consideration and a new search are required to address the new issues raised by this amendment.